

SAC Minutes

Monday September 17, 2018

Submitted by Natalie Russo

Attendees:

The meeting was called to order at 2:35 p.m. by Kim McCarthy.

Motion for approval of minutes for August was made by Naik, Curtis seconded. All were in favor.

**Principal's Update/Assistant Principal's Updates** provided by Assistant Principal Lindsey Sierra

We are 26 students below projection at this time. We couldn't surplus a teacher due to the number of students being spread out across the grade levels. TLC (microtech) salary was moved under aftercare budget as well as some custodial. New aftercare director Iliana Escarra is full time. She will be invited to the next meeting. Aftercare now is up to 295 students.

We are currently in phase two of Safe Haven Assessments. Phase one was over the summer. They are assessing and prioritizing needs of schools. Phase two they came to the school during student hours and watched during the day for safety and security, students buddy walking, traffic assessment in the morning (looking at cars, buses, and walkers), asked scenario based questions like "What would we do if.." They will make a report to the school board of their findings. Our school does have a single point of entry, but we feel enhancements can be made to make it more secure. We are looking at adding a wall in the front office to separate the entry door and the door that leads to campus. We are also looking at adding a camera and buzzer for the front single point of entry. The camera will be provided under Smart Bond money but we will have to pay for the buzzer. These enhancements can be provided under PTO funds if we would like. We are also asking for intercoms to be placed in hallways and hoping Smart Bond money will also pay for these. These items are all still in the planning phase.

School data was discussed. We are the third highest in our SES band. Data was looked at for ELA, Math, and Science over the last few years. We are on an upward data trend. Our science data was an area we agreed could be worked on for improvement. The question was purposed, "Why are more students not meeting proficiency in science?" Table teams discussed reasons related to resources, people, policies, and curriculum. These reasons will be looked at further will teachers at the school. There are also four days that teacher trainings have been planned to address the solutions to the needs that are found.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:47 p.m. by Ms. Naik and Ms. Nelson seconded the motion.